

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. By 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,273		02/20/2002	Rajendra R. Damle	M-9927-1P US	4840	
33031	7590	10/06/2005		EXAMINER		
		EPHENSON ASCO	COULTER, KENNETH R			
	, SUITE 2	SPRINGS RD. 01		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, TX 787			2141		
•				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/086,27	3	DAMLE, RAJENDRA R.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
_			Kenneth R		2141				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve vill apply and wil cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATIO nt, however, may a reply be ti expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on							
		2b)⊠ This		on-final.					
3)□	e merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	r.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 July 2002</u>	<u>2</u> is/are: a)[∑	accepted accepted	d or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the o	drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_	•	=	=				
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: —				a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority			• •	<u></u>	04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	·	•		ed in this National	Stage			
* 9	application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action		•	• • •	ed				
	see the attached detailed office activ		or the certif	ica copies not receiv	cu.				
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			Paper No(s)/Mail D		Ω-152)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/27/03</u> .	1 F 1 U/2 B/U8)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2141

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Examiner requests that the cross-references to related applications data (p. 1, line 6 of the specification) be updated with appropriate serial numbers.

## **Double Patenting**

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1 – 19 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 - 19 of copending Application No. 10/927,768. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1 – 19 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 - 19 of copending Application No. 10/936,087. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,273 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1 6, 9, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Talbot et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,697,381) (Packet Channel Architecture).
- 5.1 Regarding claim 1, Talbot discloses a frame structure comprising:
  super-channel information (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 –
  59; col. 3, lines 37 45).
- 5.2 Per claim 2, Talbot teaches the frame structure of claim 1, wherein said super-channel information comprises a super-channel identifier and said super-channel identifier identifies a super-channel (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 59; col. 3, lines 37 45; col. 5, lines 33 45).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/086,273

Art Unit: 2141

5.3 Regarding claim 3, Talbot discloses the frame structure of claim 2, further comprising:

sub-channel information (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 – 59; col. 3, lines 37 – 45; col. 5, lines 33 - 45).

5.4 Per claim 4, Talbot teaches the frame structure of claim 3, wherein said subchannel information comprises:

a sub-channel identifier, wherein said sub-channel identifier identifies a sub-channel (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 – 59; col. 3, lines 37 – 45; col. 5, lines 33 - 45).

5.5 Regarding claim 5, Talbot discloses the frame structure of claim 4, wherein said super-channel information further comprises:

a sub-channel bitmap, wherein each bit in said sub-channel bitmap represents an operational state of a corresponding sub-channel (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 – 59; col. 3, lines 37 – 45).

5.6 Per claim 6, Talbot teaches the frame structure of claim 5, wherein said subchannel bitmap comprises:

a bit corresponding to an operational state of said sub-channel (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 – 59; col. 3, lines 37 – 45; col. 5, lines 33 - 45).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,273

Art Unit: 2141

5.7 Regarding claim 9, Talbot discloses the frame structure of claim 4, further comprising:

alternate super-channel information, wherein said super-channel information comprises an alternate super-channel identifier and said alternate super-channel identifier identifies an alternate super-channel (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 – 59; col. 5, lines 33 - 45).

- 5.8 Per claim 18, Talbot teaches the frame structure of claim 4, further comprising: sub-channel state information, wherein said sub-channel state information conveys a state of said sub-channel (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 59; col. 3, lines 37 45; col. 5, lines 33 45).
- 5.9 Regarding claim 19, Talbot discloses the frame structure of claim 18, wherein said sub-channel state information conveys a state of a connection between a far-end transmitter and a near-end receiver over said sub-channel (Abstract; Figs. 4, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D; col. 2, lines 39 59; col. 3, lines 37 45; col. 5, lines 33 45).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R. Coulter whose telephone number is 571 272-3879. The examiner can normally be reached on 5 4 9.

Art Unit: 2141

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

krc